

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,837	07/25/2003	Takeshi Iwasaki	008312-0305236	9253	
909	7590 08/14/2006		EXAM	XAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP			BERNATZ, KEVIN M		
P.O. BOX 105					
MCLEAN, V	A 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1773		
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Response to Rule 312 Communication		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/626,837	IWASAKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kevin M. Bernatz	1773			
The M	AILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss –		
			·			
1. 🛛 The amendment filed on 26 July 2006 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:						
a) ⊠ entered.						
b) 🔲 entered a	b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.					
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.						
Any a	Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1)					
and th	e required fee to withdraw the applicatio	n from issue.				
d) 🔲 disapprov	ved. See explanation below.					
e) 🗌 entered i	n part. See explanation below.					
To clarify the record, the Examiner notes that the embodiment represented by the proposed claims was discussed in the interview of March 24, 2006 with Mr. Christophe Lair. The above embodiment was one of two options presented to applicants to clarify that the first and second perpendicular magnetic layers could not both be a CoCrPtO-rare earth alloy (see Interview Summary attached to the Office Action mailed June 8, 2006).						
In the instant case, the Examiner notes that the second perpendicular magnetic recording layer is limited to an alloy consisting essentially of Co, Cr, Pt, at least one rare earth element from the claimed Markush group, and optionally one or both of Ta and Nb. Since additional elements, including, but not limited to oxygen, are deemed to materially effect the basic and novel characteristics of a magnetic alloy, the Examiner notes that the second perpendicular magnetic recording layer is excluded from containing oxygen.				asic		

Hence, the reasons for allowance presented in Paragraph 3 of the Office Action mailed June 8, 2006 are still valid.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Kevin M. Bernatz, PhD Primary Examiner

August 10, 2006